

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				~	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,003	12/03/2003	Trevor P. Ashline	118E-0142CIP	7183	
37953	37953 7590 12/14/2004			EXAMINER	
MILLER, EVERMAN & BERNARD, PLLC 4701 HEDGEMORE DR., SUITE 2500			LINDSEY, RODNEY M		
CHARLOTTE, NC 28209		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3765		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/728,003	ASHLINE, TREVOR P.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney M. Lindsey	3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIDE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIDE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strepty received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt repriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·•					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
7-723	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oigh phonty under do dio.o. 3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority docur Certified copies of the priority docur		oplication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
	application from the International Bu						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
		·					
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	[~] /	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/728,003

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 4 of paragraph [0056], "24" it appears should be --22--, in paragraph [0079] "24" it appears should be --14-- and "14" it appears should be --20--, in paragraph [0082] on line 3 "14" it appears should be --20-- and on line 6 of paragraph [0089] "beings" it appears should be --begins--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 5 of claim 20 the language of "the anchor a seat belt" is awkward and confusing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11, 13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al. With respect to claim 1 note member 26, tether 88 and strap 46. Inherently the restraint device would function to control the driver's head during a collision as a result of being anchor with the driver to the seat while limiting motion of the head and spine. With respect to claim 2 note the member 26 positioned adjacent the back of a user. With respect to claim 3 note the rigid member 26 (see column 7, line 68). With respect to claim 4 note Figure 5 and such

Application/Control Number: 10/728,003

Art Unit: 3765

length of the member 26. With respect to claim 5 the device at 24 is equivalent to a first section and at 26 is equivalent to the second section. With respect to claims 6-8 note the device attached to encircle the torso of the driver's body (see Figure 5). With respect to claim 9 note the strap 46 attached to harness 12 attached at 18 to the driver's legs. With respect to claim 11 the harness 12 attached to the seat and to the strap 46 is equivalent to a seat belt assembly. With respect to claim 13 note the encircling of the torso by the strap 46 as in Figure 5. With respect to claim 15 note the provided member 26, the provided tether 88 attached to member 26 and to the helmet, the provided strap 46 attached to the member 26 and to the anchor or body of the user. With respect to claim 16 note Figure 5 and the member positioned along the back of the user. With respect to claim 17 note the torso as shown in Figure 5. With respect to claim 18 inherently the force of a collision would cause the strap 46 to be placed in tension as it is secured to the harness 12 secured to the seat. With respect to claim 19 inherently the force of a collision causing the body to move away from the seat and the restraint device or insert would tension the tether 88. With respect to claim 20 note the member 26 with the tether 88 and strap 46 positioned along a user's back (see Figure 5) and attached to a helmet by the tether 88 and attached to the user's body as an anchor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3765

6. Claims 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. in view of Williams, Jr. Adams et al. do not teach securing the member by a strap positioned between or encircling the user's legs or positioned near the user's waist. Williams, Jr. teaches old to use straps positioned between and encircling the legs of a user and near the waist of a user to secure a member 10 along the back of the user (see Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Adams et al. with the straps of Williams, Jr. to achieve the advantage of enhancing the engagement between the member and the user's body.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, the straps of Benitez, Jr., et al., Anders et al. and British patent to Fisher and the helmet restraints of Landau, Hubbard, Cooper et al., Moloney, World patent to Polson, Alsup and Boyce et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,003

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney M. Lindsey

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

rml